NIU Journal of Educational Research Copyright©2026
Nexus International University ISSN: *3007-1844;* 12(2): 103-113

Nexus between the Place of Technology in Science Teaching and Theoretical Foundations of Science Teaching in Nigerian Secondary School: Past, Present, and Future

CHRISTIANAH OLAJUMOKE SAM-KAYODE, SAIDAT BOLAJI OLAJIDE
Lead City University, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

PAUL OLANIYI OLABAMIJI
Gerar University of Medical Sciences, Imope-Ijebu, Ogun State, Nigeria

Abstract. This paper discusses the Nexus Between the Place of Technology in Science Teaching and the theoretical foundations of Science Teaching in Nigerian secondary schools, from traditional methods to contemporary digital technologies. It also examines persistent challenges, including inadequate infrastructure and teacher capacity gaps, and explores emerging trends such as mobile learning, virtual laboratories, and artificial intelligence applications. The paper suggests that strategic investment in infrastructure, comprehensive teacher professional development, and context-appropriate technology solutions is critical for transforming science education in Nigerian secondary schools.

Keywords: Technology, Theoretical Foundations of Science Education, Nigerian Secondary Schools, Digital Learning, Pedagogical Innovation

1. Introduction

Science education serves as the cornerstone for technological advancement and societal development. In Nigeria, where the government has consistently emphasized the importance of scientific and technological literacy to drive economic growth, the quality of science teaching in secondary schools remains crucial. The integration of technology into science education has emerged globally as a transformative approach to enhancing learning outcomes, making abstract concepts tangible, and preparing students for an increasingly digital world (NPE, 2013).

Nigerian secondary schools are tasked with developing students' scientific literacy, critical thinking skills, and practical competencies in science subjects such as Biology, Chemistry, Physics, and Basic Science. However, traditional pedagogy, characterized by teacher-centered instruction, rote memorization, and limited practical demonstrations, has proven inadequate for meeting the demands of 21st-century education.

Despite global recognition of technology's potential to revolutionize science teaching, Nigerian secondary schools face significant challenges in effectively integrating technological tools. Many schools lack basic laboratory equipment, let alone modern digital technologies. Where technologies exist, inadequate teacher training, poor maintenance culture, irregular power supply, and limited internet connectivity often render them underutilized or non-functional. This dearth in technological input resulted in students graduating with theoretical knowledge but limited practical skills, placing them at a disadvantage in higher education and the job market. This paper focuses on: examining the historical evolution of technology in science teaching in Nigerian secondary schools; assessing the current state of technology integration; identifying challenges and barriers; exploring the emerging trends and innovations in science teaching; and showcasing evidence-based suggestions for sustainable technology integration.

1.1 Technological Innovation in Science Teaching

Technology encompasses the use of tools, processes, and systems designed to enhance the teaching and learning of school subjects. In science teaching, this includes hardware (computers, projectors, laboratory equipment, tablets) and software (simulations, virtual laboratories, educational applications) that facilitate teaching and learning of scientific concepts. The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework provides a useful lens for understanding effective technology integration. This framework emphasizes that successful integration requires the intersection of technological knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, and content knowledge. Teachers must understand not only the technology itself but also how to apply it pedagogically to teach specific scientific content effectively.

Technology has systematically facilitated scientific literacy, inquiry skills, and conceptual understanding through evidence-based methods. It bridges scientific knowledge with educational practices through various result-oriented methods, strategies, and philosophies shaped by theories that explain how learning occurs and why certain instructional approaches are effective. In contemporary education, science teaching is no longer limited to content delivery but involves nurturing critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and responsible citizenship. Technology, therefore, plays a dual role of developing scientific competencies and preparing learners for participation in a scientifically dynamic world.

Modern societies depend on inputs of science and technology for growth, development, and sustainability. As a result, it is logical for science teaching and learning to respond to global challenges emanating from climate change, health crises, and economic uncertainties. These demands are teaching approaches that are theoretically grounded, learner-centered, and adaptable to diverse learning contexts.

1.2 Theoretical Foundations and Science Teaching

Theoretical foundations provide the intellectual base upon which science curricula, instructional strategies, and assessment practices are developed. It provides the explanatory and predictive frameworks that guide how science should be taught and learned. These principles explain why educators choose specific methods, strategies, and classroom approaches. They answer fundamental questions such as: how do students learn science? Why do certain teaching approaches succeed while others fail? and other thought-provoking frameworks to aid the teaching and learning of science subjects. These theories move science education from intuitive or traditional practices to evidence-informed strategies that go beyond the transmission of facts, incorporating systematic guidance for designing, implementing, and evaluating instruction. Understanding these foundations provides educators with ideas to help them make informed decisions that enhance student engagement, deepen conceptual understanding, and foster critical thinking and problem-solving skills essential for scientific and societal progress.

Theories help clarify student misconceptions, promote conceptual change, and support differentiated instruction. In an era of rapid scientific advancement and global challenges like climate change, theoretically informed science teaching equips learners to think critically and adaptively. These theories also enable educators to systematically design lessons, implement activities, and evaluate outcomes. Several important purposes in science teaching are supported by theories. These include guiding curriculum development by determining what knowledge is important and how it should be organized1; informing instructional strategies by explaining how learners process information and construct meaning3; and shaping assessment practices by defining what counts as learning and how it should be measured4. Without theoretical foundations, science teaching becomes fragmented, mechanical, and inconsistent, whereas with theoretical backing, teaching becomes coherent, purposeful, and scientifically informed.

1.3 Major Theoretical Frameworks in Science Teaching

1.3.1 Behaviourism

Behaviourism views learning as a change in observable behaviour shaped by environmental interactions, through associations, reinforcement, and consequences. Key figures include Pavlov, Watson, and B.F. Skinner, who submitted that teaching should reinforce correct responses and extinguish incorrect ones. Though limited in explaining internal cognitive processes, behaviorism encourages instructional design, classroom management, and performance assessments through its emphasis on practice, feedback, and conditioning.

In science teaching, behaviourism is reflected in drill-and-practice exercises, structured laboratory procedures, repetition, and reward systems. Though limited in explaining internal cognitive processes, behaviourism continues to inform instructional design, classroom management, and performance assessments through its emphasis on practice, feedback, and reinforcement.

1.3.2 Cognitivism

Cognitivism shifted focus from observable behavior to internal mental processes, showcasing how learners receive, store, and organize information. Learning is seen as an internal process where instruction is designed to influence cognitive structure. Cognitivism encourages the use of concept maps, advance organizers, structured explanations, and scaffolding to stimulate learning. It helps teachers to design lessons that move beyond memorization to higher-order thinking. Bloom's Taxonomy, which includes domains such as analysis and evaluation, is widely used to frame science learning objectives as it provides a cognitive framework for designing objectives across levels such as knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation.

1.3.3 Constructivism

Constructivism views learning as an active process where learners construct knowledge based on experience and prior understanding. It is central to modern science teaching and learning with several key perspectives, such as:

a) Cognitive Constructivism
Jean Piaget proposed that learners construct knowledge through interaction with their environment, via assimilation and accommodation. He emphasized that understanding science requires cognitive restructuring aligned with developmental stages. In practice, this means designing science activities that match students' cognitive readiness, providing concrete experiences before abstract reasoning, and encouraging active exploration and manipulation of materials. Piaget's stage theory underscores the importance of hands-on, discovery-based learning in science, particularly in early and middle childhood[9].

b) Social Constructivism
Lev Vygotsky, a proponent of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), emphasized the social nature of learning such that there exists a space occupied by what a learner can do independently and what he or she can do with guidance. In this school of thought, collaboration, dialogue, and cultural tools are seen as central to meaningful science learning. This context translates to peer-led discussions, group investigations, and teacher modeling of scientific reasoning and practices. Social constructivism highlights the role of culture and community in shaping scientific literacy and identity[10].

c) Discovery Learning
Jerome Bruner propounded that learners construct their new experiences through guided exploration, advocating for learner-centered and inquiry-driven approaches. Discovery learning in science involves presenting students with problems or phenomena to investigate, providing resources and guidance to learners by allowing them to formulate hypotheses, test ideas, and draw conclusions based on their new experiences. This approach fosters intrinsic motivation, critical thinking, and a deeper conceptual understanding of scientific principles[11].

1.3.4 Social Cognitive Theory

Albert Bandura's theory integrates cognitive processes with social contexts, emphasizing self-efficacy, modeling, and reciprocal interactions among learners, their behavior, and the environment[3]. Observational learning and imitation are key mechanisms in this scenario, thereby making this framework highly relevant to collaborative and peer-learning settings in science. Social Cognitive Theory supports collaborative and peer-learning settings. Teachers can model scientific practices such as precise measurement, systematic observation, and logical reasoning, while also fostering a classroom climate that encourages risk-taking and persistence. Strategies here include using think-aloud protocols, peer tutoring, and showcasing diverse role models in science. Examples are contributions of scientists from various backgrounds in enhancing students' self-efficacy in science, which are crucial. In cases where there are underrepresented groups of learners, learning can be achieved through mastery experiences, vicarious learning, verbal persuasion, and positive emotional states[3]. This theory is especially relevant in inquiry-based and project-based learning environments where social interaction and self-regulation are key.

1.3.5 Inquiry and Situated Learning

Cognitive Theory of Inquiry Teaching (Collins & Stevens) prioritizes metacognitive skills, questioning, hypothesis testing, and reflection[12]. Situated Learning stresses that knowledge is contextual and embedded in activity and social interaction, advocating for authentic science practices and communities of practice. In science teaching and learning, this advocates for authentic science practices and learning environments that mirror real-world scientific work. Examples include conducting fieldwork, participating in citizen science projects, engaging with science professionals, and using technology simulations that replicate laboratory or environmental settings. Situated learning helps bridge the gap between school science and everyday life, making learning more relevant and meaningful[13].

1.3.6 Constructionism (Seymour Papert)

Papert extended constructivism into constructionism, where learners build tangible artifacts to deepen understanding. Papert argued that learning is most effective when learners are actively creating something shareable and reflective of their thinking. This approach is especially relevant in science labs, engineering projects, and technology-enhanced learning. Examples include building models (e.g., DNA models, solar systems), designing experiments, coding simulations, and creating digital portfolios. Constructionism emphasizes the role of tools and technologies as cognitive partners that extend thinking and facilitate expression. In contemporary STEM education, constructionist principles underpin maker movements, robotics clubs, and computational thinking initiatives.

1.4 Emerging Theoretical Perspectives in Science Teaching

1.4.1 Connectivism

Proposed by George Siemens and Stephen Downes, connectivism is a learning theory for the digital age. It posits that learning resides in the connections formed between individuals, information sources, and digital networks. In science teaching and learning, connectivism highlights the importance of navigating, evaluating, and synthesizing information from diverse online resources, databases, and collaborative platforms. It supports the development of digital literacy skills, such as critical evaluation of scientific information online, participation in global scientific communities, and the use of data visualization and analysis tools. Connectivism encourages a shift from knowledge acquisition to knowledge networking, thereby preparing students for a world where scientific knowledge is rapidly evolving and widely accessible.

1.4.2 Complexity Theory and Systems Thinking

Complexity theory emerged from chaos theory and systems science, views learning and knowledge as emergent phenomena which emanated from interactions within dynamic, adaptive systems. In science teaching, this perspective encourages holistic and interdisciplinary approaches, such as teaching ecology, climate science, or human body systems as interconnected networks. It promotes systems thinking skills: recognizing patterns, understanding interdependencies, and anticipating unintended consequences. Pedagogical strategies in this view include using simulations of complex systems, case studies of real-world problems (e.g., pandemic response, ecosystem management), and project-based learning that requires integrating multiple scientific disciplines.

1.4.3 Integration of Theories to Science Teaching and Learning and Relevance

Effective science teaching integrates multiple theoretical insights, recognizing that no single theory can address all aspects of learning. An eclectic, principled approach allows educators to draw on the strengths of various frameworks to meet diverse instructional goals and student needs.

Behaviorism provides structure for practice, reinforcement, and skill acquisition, useful in teaching foundational procedures (e.g., lab safety, measurement techniques) and managing classroom routines.

Cognitivism helps organize information, sequence instruction, and develop higher-order thinking skills through tools like concept mapping, metacognitive prompts, and scaffolded problem-solving.

Constructivism and Inquiry promote active, student-centered learning through hypothesis testing, experimentation, and reflection 2,8. They encourage curiosity, conceptual change, and the development of scientific reasoning.

Social Cognitive Theory supports collaborative and motivational contexts, emphasizing modeling, self-efficacy, and social interaction in learning communities.

Constructionism enriches hands-on, project-based learning by emphasizing the creation and sharing of tangible artifacts.

Situated Learning and Connectivism connect classroom science to real-world contexts and digital networks[13], enhancing relevance and preparing students for lifelong learning

Several learning theories underpin technology use in science education. Constructivism suggests that technology facilitates learning by allowing students to actively explore and construct understanding through simulations and virtual experiments. Cognitive Load Theory indicates that well-designed educational technologies can reduce cognitive load by presenting information in multiple modalities, making complex scientific concepts more manageable. Social Constructivism emphasizes how collaborative technologies enable students to engage in scientific discourse and collectively problem-solve.

1.5 Philosophical Bases Underpinnings of Science Teaching

1.5.1 Positivism

Views knowledge as objective, empirical, and verifiable. Early science curricula were heavily influenced by positivism, emphasizing laboratory work, controlled experiments, and factual accuracy. Teaching was largely teacher-centered, with learners expected to observe, memorize, and reproduce scientific facts. Although modern science teaching has moved beyond strict positivism, its influence remains visible in standardized testing, laboratory accuracy, and emphasis on empirical evidence.

While positivism contributed to the emphasis on empirical evidence and scientific rigor, its limitations include the neglect of subjective experience, the social construction of knowledge, and the role of prior beliefs in learning. Despite these criticisms, elements of positivism persist in standardized testing, competency-based assessments, and curricula that prioritize content mastery over process.

1.5.2 Pragmatism

Rooted in John Dewey's philosophy, pragmatism stresses learning by doing and the integration of theory with real-world problems [6,7]. It supports inquiry-based and experiential activities essential to science teaching. This approach values the process of learning as much as the product, encouraging curiosity, collaboration, and critical reflection. Pragmatism emphasizes the adaptive nature of knowledge, recognizing that scientific understanding evolves through continuous investigation and social negotiation. These philosophies set the stage for modern learning theories, which emphasize shifting from passive reception to active construction of knowledge.

1.5.3 Humanism

Humanism emphasizes the holistic development of the learner, including emotional, social, and moral dimensions. Learning is viewed as a personal process driven by motivation, self-concept, and personal meaning. In science education, humanism supports learner autonomy, self-directed learning, and supportive classroom environments. It encourages teachers to consider students' interests, values, and experiences as part of science learning.

1.6 The Past: Historical Evolution Colonial Era to Independence (Pre-1960 To 1960)

During the colonial period, science education in Nigeria was rudimentary and limited to elite mission schools and government colleges. Technology in science teaching was minimal, consisting primarily of basic laboratory glassware and simple demonstrations. Science laboratories, where they existed, were modelled after British schools but often lacked adequate supplies. Practical science was a privilege of a few urban schools, while rural schools relied almost entirely on theoretical instruction.

1.7 Post-Independence Development Phase (1960-1980)

Following independence, Nigeria made concerted efforts to expand and improve education. The first National Development Plan (1962-1968) emphasized science education as critical for national development. The government established science schools and invested in laboratory infrastructure for selected secondary schools. During this period, audio-visual aids such as filmstrips, overhead projectors, and educational radio programs were introduced in some urban schools. However, technology adoption remained concentrated in urban areas and federal government colleges.

1.8 Economic Crisis Era (1980-1999)

The economic downturn of the 1980s, triggered by falling oil prices, severely affected educational funding. Laboratory equipment became scarce, maintenance of existing facilities declined, and importation of new technologies virtually ceased in many public schools. Despite challenges, the 1981 National Policy on Education emphasized science, technology, and mathematics education, though the policy lacked adequate implementation mechanisms and funding.

1.9 Early Digital Age (2000-2015)

The new millennium brought renewed optimism. The National Policy on Information Technology (2001) recognized ICT as a strategic tool for education delivery. Computer education was introduced into the secondary school curriculum, and some schools began establishing computer laboratories. The School-Net

Nigeria program aimed to connect schools to the internet, though implementation was inconsistent. Private schools, particularly in urban centers, began investing in multimedia projectors and interactive whiteboards, creating growing disparities with public schools.

1.10 The Current State

The current landscape reveals significant disparities. A 2024 survey found that only 38 percent of public secondary schools have functional science laboratories with basic equipment, while this figure rises to 82 percent for private schools in urban areas. Computer-to-student ratios remain extremely poor, averaging 1:87 in public schools compared to 1:12 in well-resourced private institutions. Internet connectivity is available in approximately 29 percent of secondary schools nationwide, with significant urban-rural divides. Many schools struggle with unreliable electricity supply, making sustained technology use impractical.

1.11 Types of Technologies Currently in Use

Basic Laboratory Equipment remains the primary technological tool in most schools, though many items are outdated or non-functional. Multimedia Projectors and Interactive Displays have been adopted by progressive schools for displaying diagrams, videos, and simulations. Computer-Assisted Learning through software like PhET simulations provides virtual laboratory experiences where available. Mobile Learning leverages Nigeria's 85 percent mobile phone penetration, with apps providing supplementary learning resources. Learning Management Systems saw accelerated adoption during COVID-19, though adoption remains limited in public schools.

2 Government Policies and Initiatives

Recent initiatives include the National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (2020-2030), which emphasizes digital literacy and ICT infrastructure development. The STEM Education Policy (2023) prioritizes science, technology, engineering, and mathematics education with provisions for enhanced technology integration and teacher training. Several states have implemented technology-focused programs, such as Lagos State's EKO Digital Initiative.

3 Teacher Competence

Teacher technological competence remains a significant concern. A 2025 study found that only 41 percent of science teachers rated themselves as proficient in using educational technology, while 23 percent reported minimal or no exposure during pre-service training. Professional development opportunities exist, but are inadequate in scale and quality.

4 Challenges and Barriers

4.1 Infrastructure Deficits

Nigeria's electricity challenges represent perhaps the most fundamental barrier. Frequent power outages make consistent technology use difficult, and many schools lack backup generators. Internet access remains limited and unreliable, with bandwidth often insufficient for multimedia content. Many school buildings lack basic infrastructure necessary for technology integration, such as insufficient electrical outlets, inadequate security for expensive equipment, and unsuitable classroom layouts.

4.2 Funding

Educational funding has consistently fallen below UNESCO-recommended levels, averaging approximately 6-8 percent of national budgets in recent years. This severely restricts technology procurement, maintenance, and upgrade capabilities. The high cost of imported technology, exacerbated by currency depreciation, places modern educational technologies beyond most public schools' reach. Corruption and budget implementation inefficiencies mean allocated funds often fail to translate into actual technology resources.

4.3 Teacher-Related Challenges

Many science teachers lack adequate training in educational technology integration. Pre-service teacher education programs have been slow to incorporate technology pedagogy. Some teachers resist adopting new technologies due to comfort with traditional methods or fear of appearing incompetent. Heavy teaching loads and large class sizes leave teachers with limited time to master and integrate new technologies.

4.4 Socio-Cultural Factors

Nigeria's education system remains heavily examination-focused, with teaching oriented toward preparing students for standardized tests. This culture sometimes discourages exploratory, technology-enabled inquiry learning. Cultural factors continue to influence gender participation in science and technology. Vast disparities exist between urban and rural schools in technology access, teacher quality, and infrastructural development.

5 The Future: Emerging Trends

5.2 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Artificial intelligence offers transformative possibilities for personalized science learning. AI-powered tutoring systems can adapt to individual student learning pace and style, providing customized feedback. In Nigeria, emerging start-ups are developing AI-powered educational platforms tailored to local curricula, showing promise for improving learning outcomes at scale.

5.2 Virtual and Augmented Reality

Virtual reality can transport students into microscopic cellular environments, geological formations, or astronomical phenomena. Augmented reality applications can overlay digital information onto physical objects, enhancing traditional experiments. While currently expensive, mobile-based AR applications accessible via smartphones present more immediate opportunities for Nigerian schools.

5.3 Cloud-Based Virtual Laboratories

Cloud-based virtual laboratories provide solutions to Nigeria's laboratory equipment challenges. Students can conduct simulated experiments, manipulate variables, and observe outcomes without requiring expensive physical equipment. Cloud-based solutions also facilitate collaboration among students from different schools.

5.4 Mobile Learning and Offline Solutions

Given Nigeria's high mobile penetration and ongoing connectivity issues, mobile-first educational solutions offer a practical way forward. Progressive Web Apps that work offline after initial download enable students to access learning content without constant internet access. SMS-based learning platforms have proven to be effective for delivering quick lessons and quizzes.

5.5 Open Educational Resources

The Open Educational Resources movement presents significant opportunities. OER provides free, openly licensed educational materials, including textbooks, videos, simulations, and lesson plans. Expanding OER use could dramatically reduce the cost of quality science education materials while allowing contextualization for local relevance.

6 Strategies for Sustainable Integration

6.1 Phased Implementation Approach

Sustainable technology integration requires realistic, phased implementation. A suggested framework includes:

Phase 1. (Foundation) establishing basic infrastructure, reliable electricity, internet connectivity, and basic ICT facilities;
Phase 2. (Capacity Building) implementing comprehensive teacher professional development;
Phase 3. (Integration and Scaling) gradually introducing advanced technologies as competence develops; and
Phase 4. (Innovation and Sustainability), encouraging contextualized innovation and establishing maintenance systems.

6.2 Public-Private Partnerships

Given government resource constraints, public-private partnerships offer viable pathways for technology integration. Technology companies can provide equipment, software licenses, and technical support. Successful models from Kenya and South Africa demonstrate how telecommunications companies can partner with education sectors to provide scalable digital learning solutions.

6.3 Teacher Professional Development

Effective teacher professional development must be continuous, practice-based, and embedded in teachers' daily work. This should include pre-service education reform, induction programs with mentorship, continuous professional development through online learning communities, and school-based support from technology coordinators.

6.4 Context-Appropriate Technology Selection

Technology choices must align with Nigerian realities. Key principles include selecting technologies that match available infrastructure and teacher capacity, prioritizing cost-effective solutions with low total cost of ownership, choosing flexible technologies adaptable to local contexts, and ensuring maintenance and technical support structures exist before deployment.

7 Conclusion

The place of technology in science teaching in Nigerian secondary schools has evolved significantly from the rudimentary equipment of the colonial era to the current digital age, with its immense possibilities and persistent challenges. While progress has been made, particularly in urban private schools, the overall landscape reveals concerning disparities and unrealized potential.

The past demonstrates that good intentions and progressive policies alone are inadequate without adequate resources, effective implementation mechanisms, and sustained commitment. The present reveals a system at a crossroads, where foundational challenges coexist with emerging opportunities. The future depends on coordinated action from multiple stakeholders. Technology is not a panacea for all educational challenges, nor will technology alone transform science education. However, appropriately selected, properly implemented, and effectively utilized technology can significantly enhance science teaching and learning in Nigerian secondary schools. The goal is improved learning outcomes, enhanced scientific literacy, and better preparation of students for an increasingly technological world.

Nigeria's aspirations for scientific and technological advancement depend significantly on the quality of science education provided today. Technology must play a central role, but a role defined by Nigerian realities, guided by sound pedagogy, and sustained by adequate resources and commitment. With strategic vision, enough investment, collaborative effort, and persistent commitment, Nigerian secondary schools can realize the transformative potential of technology in science education. The time for action is now. The theoretical foundations of science teaching offer multiple perspectives on how students learn and how teachers can facilitate that process. From behaviorism to constructivism and social cognitive theory, these frameworks provide conceptual clarity, methodological rigor, and pedagogical coherence. To address evolving global and local challenges, science education must continue to refine and expand its theoretical approaches. Ultimately, effective science teaching is theoretically informed, adaptable, and transformative. It empowers learners not only to understand science but also to apply it thoughtfully, critique it responsibly, and contribute to its ongoing evolution. By grounding practice in robust theory, educators can inspire the next generation of scientists, innovators, and informed citizens, capable of navigating and shaping a complex, scientifically driven world.

8 Recommendations

For Government and Policymakers

Allocate at least 2 percent of education budgets specifically for educational technology with strict accountability mechanisms. Develop a comprehensive national EdTech strategy with clear milestones and success metrics. Prioritize basic infrastructure, electricity, and internet connectivity as prerequisites for sustainable technology integration. Establish technology centers of excellence in each state to demonstrate effective integration and serve as training hubs.

For School Administrators

Conduct systematic technology needs assessments before implementing new technologies. Develop context-specific technology integration plans aligned with school improvement goals. Establish maintenance and support systems with designated personnel and budgets. Foster environments that encourage experimentation and celebrate technology integration victories.

For Teachers

Actively seek professional development opportunities in educational technology and commit to ongoing skill development. Begin with simple, manageable technology applications and gradually expand. Remember that technology is a tool to enhance teaching, not replace good pedagogy. Engage with professional learning communities to share experiences and learn from colleagues.

For Teacher Education Institutions

Integrate educational technology throughout all courses, modelling effective technology use in instruction. Ensure pre-service teachers experience technology-rich learning environments and practice integrating technology during teaching practicum. Invest in faculty development so teacher educators are competent and current in educational technology.

For Development Partners and the Private Sector

Prioritize investments in foundational infrastructure before advanced technologies. Develop or adapt technologies specifically for Nigerian contexts rather than importing solutions designed for different environments. Include maintenance, training, and long-term support in technology interventions. Build local capacity for technology development, maintenance, and support.

References

Adebayo, R. O. (2024). Currency Depreciation and Educational Technology Procurement in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Finance,* 49(3), 312-329.

Adeleke, O. F. (nd). Nigeria's Digital Economy and Educational Technology: Synergies and Opportunities. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development* 16(4), 378-396.

Adeyemi, T. O. (2024). Science Education and National Development in Nigeria: Contemporary Challenges and Prospects. *Journal of Educational Research and Development,* 18(3), 45-62.

African Development Bank Education Team (2024). *Financing Educational Technology in Africa: Strategic Recommendations, 2024*. Abidjan: AfDB Publications, 2024.

Afolabi, F. O. & Isaac, A. (2024). Impact of Technology-Enhanced Science Instruction on Student Learning Outcomes in Nigerian Secondary Schools. *Research in Science Education,* 54(3), 567-586.

Akinola, S. T. (2025). Collaborative Learning Technologies and Scientific Discourse in Nigerian Secondary Schools. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems,* 52(3), 156-174.

Babatunde, E. G. (2024). Technology Integration Without Pedagogical Change: Critical Analysis of PowerPoint Use in Nigerian Classrooms. *Educational Technology & Society,* 27(1), 89-104.

Balogun, V. O. (2024). Public-Private Partnerships in Educational Technology: Models for Nigerian Implementation. *International Journal of Educational Development,* 10(6), 102-119.

Chen, L. & Adeola, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in African Education: Opportunities for Personalized Learning. *AI & Society,* 39(2), 445-462.

Emefiele, R. N. (2025). AI-Powered Educational Platforms in Nigeria: Case Studies of Local Innovations. *African Journal of Educational Technology,* 13(2), 89-106.

Eze, S. N. & Patrick, M. (2024). Historical Trajectory of Science Education in Nigeria: Colonial Legacy to Digital Age. *Nigerian Education Review,* 29(4), 78-96.

Federal Ministry of Communications and Digital Economy (2024). *National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (2020-2030): Implementation Progress Report 2024*. Abuja: FMCDE Publications, 2024.

Federal Ministry of Education (2024) *National Curriculum Framework for Secondary Education in Nigeria*. Abuja: Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council.

Federal Ministry of Education (2024) *National STEM Education Policy Framework 2023-2033*. Abuja: Federal Ministry of Education.

Federal Republic of Nigeria (2024) *National Policy on Education: Revised Edition 2024*. Lagos: NERDC Press.

Habimana, Jean Pierre (2024) Rwanda's Educational Technology Transformation: Lessons for African Countries. *African Educational Research Journal* 14, No. 1: 23-41.

Hassan, Abubakar M. (2024) Cloud-Based Virtual Laboratories: Solutions for Resource-Constrained Science Education. *Cloud Computing in Education Journal* 8, No. 3: 178-195.

Howard, Sarah K., Jun Ma, and Jie Yang (2024) Effects of Technology Integration on Science Learning: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research* 94, No. 2: 267-301.

Ibrahim, Fatima K., and John Okeke (2024) Cognitive Load Theory and Multimedia Learning in Science Education: Evidence from Nigerian Classrooms. *Educational Psychology in Africa* 11, no. 4: 201-219.

Ige, Ayodele M. (2024) Digital Divide and Educational Inequality in Nigerian Science Education." *British Journal of Educational Technology* 55, No. 2: 423-441.

Johnson, Marie L., and David Park (2024) Virtual Reality in Science Education: Global Applications and Learning Outcomes. *Educational Technology Research and Development* 72, no. 2: 234-256.

Lagos State Ministry of Education (2024) *EKO Digital Initiative: Three Years of Digital Transformation in Lagos Schools*. Ikeja: LASG Publications.

Martinez, Elena, and James Wong (2024) Global Perspectives on Technology Integration in Science Education: A Comparative Analysis. *International Journal of Science Education Technology* 41, no. 2: 112-134.

Musa, Abdullahi H. (2024) Urban-Rural Educational Divide in Nigeria: Technology Access and Quality Implications. *International Journal of Educational Development* 105: 102-117.

Mwangi, Peter K., and Thandiwe Dlamini (2024) Educational Technology in Sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges, Innovations, and Policy Responses. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education* 54, no. 3: 412-431.

Nigerian Association of Science Teachers (2025) *Technology Competence Survey of Science Teachers: 2025 National Report*. Ibadan: NAST Publications.

Nigerian Communications Commission (2024) *2024 Telecommunications Industry Statistics: Mobile Penetration and Digital Access*. Abuja: NCC Publications.

Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council (2024) *State of Science Education Infrastructure in Nigerian Secondary Schools: 2024 National Survey*. Abuja: NERDC Publications.

Njoroge, Joseph M. (2024) Low-Tech Solutions for High-Impact Learning: Kenya's M-Shule Platform. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* Vol. 21, No. 2: 1-18.

Nwachukwu, Chinonso R. (2024) Constructivist Approaches to Technology-Enhanced Science Learning in Nigerian Secondary Schools." *International Journal of Constructivist Education* Vol. 16, No. 2: 78-95.

Nwafor, Daniel C. (2024) Simulation-Based Learning in Chemistry and Physics: Effectiveness in Nigerian Secondary School Contexts. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* Vol. 61, No. 4: 789-808.

Obanya, Pai. (2024) Universal Basic Education in Nigeria: Implementation Challenges and Prospects." *International Journal of Educational Development* 104: 102-118.

Ogundele, Adekunle T. (2024) Electricity Infrastructure and Educational Technology in Nigeria: Challenges and Renewable Energy Solutions. *Renewable Energy and Education* Vol. 9, No. 3: 201-218.

Okafor, Benjamin C. (2024) Pedagogical Transformation in Nigerian Classrooms: From Traditional to Technology-Enhanced Instruction. *African Journal of Educational Technology* Vol. 12, No. 1: 23-41.

Okonkwo, Chinwe A. (2024) Learning Management Systems in Nigerian Secondary Schools: Post-Pandemic Adoption and Sustainability. *Online Learning Journal* Vol. 28, No. 2: 156-173.

Oladele, John O. (2024) Examination-Oriented Education in Nigeria: Implications for Inquiry-Based Science Learning." *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice* Vol. 31, No. 2: 201-218.

Oluwaseun, Adebayo M. (2024) Post-Pandemic Digital Transformation in Nigerian Education: Lessons Learned and Future Pathways. *African Educational Research Journal* Vol. 13, No. 2: 134-153.

Oyewole, Damilola F. (2024) Contextual Technology Design for African Education: Principles and Case Studies." *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development* Vol. 16, No. 5: 512-529.

Suleiman, Hassan A. (2024) Internet Connectivity Challenges in Nigerian Schools: Implications for Digital Learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* Vol. 21, No. 1: 1-19.

Udoh, Grace A. (2024) Barriers to Educational Technology Adoption in Nigerian Schools: An Empirical Investigation." *Journal of Technology and Education in Africa* Vol. 15, No. 2: 134-152.

UNESCO. (2024) *Education Finance Watch 2024: West African Regional Report*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

Yusuf, Mahdi O. (2024) Information and Communication Technology in Nigerian Schools: Retrospect and Prospects. *Educational Technology in Africa* Vol. 10, No. 1: 23-41.

Yusuf, Musa O., and Taiwo M. Adesoji. (2024) Educational Technology in Science Teaching: Conceptual Clarifications and Practical Applications. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Foundations* Vol. 19, No. 2: 103-121.

National Research Council (2012) *A Framework for K–12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas.* Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.

Rosalind Driver, Hilary Asoko, John Leach, Philip Scott, Eduardo Mortimer (1994) Constructing Scientific Knowledge in the Classroom, *Educational Research Vol. 23,* No. 7 October: 5–12.

Albert Bandura (1986) *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory.* Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.

Benjamin S. Bloom (1956) *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals,* Handbook I: Cognitive domain. New York: Longman.

Tomas S. Kuhn (1962) *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

John Dewey (1916) *Democracy and Education.* New York: Macmillan.

John Dewey (1897) My pedagogic creed. *School Journal 54* January: 77–80.

Jean Piaget (1972) *The Psychology of the Child*. New York: Basic Books.

Jean Piaget, *Psychology of the Child.*

Lev S. Vygotsky (1978) *Mind in Society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Jerome S. Bruner, *The process of education* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1960).

Allan Collins, Albert S. Stevens (1983) A cognitive theory of inquiry teaching *In Instructional-design theories and models: An overview of their current status*, ed. Charles M. Reigeluth (Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 247–78.

Jean Lave, Etienne Wenger (1991) *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.