NIU Journal of Humanities Copyright©2026
Nexus International University ISSN: *3007-1704;* 11(3): 95-109

Financial Inclusion and Poverty Reduction in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Empirical Evidence

FADIMATU JALAL-EDDEEN
Adamawa State Polytechnic, Yola, Nigeria

AHMED TUKUR UMAR
Modibbo Adama University, Yola, Nigeria

AISHA JALAL-EDDEEN SALEH
American University, Yola, Nigeria

Abstract. In developing countries, financial inclusion has become a central component of development policy aimed at reducing poverty and promoting inclusive economic growth. Expanding access to financial services, including savings, credit, digital payment systems, and insurance, may enhance household welfare by enabling individuals to manage risks, accumulate assets, and invest in productive activities. However, empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of financial inclusion in reducing poverty remains mixed and context-specific. A systematic review and meta-analysis of empirical studies examining the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction in developing countries. The study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across Scopus, Web of Science, EconLit, and Google Scholar for studies published between 2000 and 2024. Eligible studies empirically assessed financial inclusion interventions and poverty-related outcomes, including income, consumption, household welfare, and asset accumulation in developing economies. Following predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, 52 studies were included in the qualitative synthesis, of which 31 provided sufficient quantitative data for meta-analysis. Financial inclusion was generally associated with poverty reduction and improved household welfare. Access to financial services contributed to increased savings, improved consumption stability, greater resilience to economic shocks, and enhanced opportunities for entrepreneurship and small business development. The meta-analysis demonstrated a statistically significant relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction, although effect sizes varied by financial service type and geographic context. Digital financial services, particularly mobile money platforms, showed relatively strong poverty-reducing effects through lower transaction costs, improved remittance flows, and expanded access among previously unbanked populations. Savings products also demonstrated consistent positive welfare effects, whereas evidence regarding microcredit was mixed, with variable impacts on entrepreneurial activity, income generation, and household poverty indicators. Financial inclusion can contribute meaningfully to poverty reduction and inclusive economic development in developing countries; however, financial access alone is insufficient to eliminate poverty. Its effectiveness depends on broader socioeconomic and institutional conditions. Comprehensive financial inclusion strategies should therefore integrate digital financial innovation, consumer protection, financial literacy, gender-sensitive approaches, market access, and wider development interventions to maximise poverty-reducing impacts.

Keywords: Financial inclusion; Poverty reduction; Developing countries; Digital financial services; Mobile money; Microcredit; Household welfare; Systematic review; Meta-analysis.

1. Introduction

Financial inclusion, over the past two decades, has emerged as a central pillar of development policy aimed at reducing poverty and promoting inclusive economic growth in low- and middle-income countries. The term financial inclusion refers to the ability of individuals and businesses to access and use affordable financial products and services, such as savings accounts, credit, insurance, and payment systems, to meet their needs and are delivered responsibly and sustainably (Demirgüç-Kunt, Klapper, Singer, Ansar, & Hess, 2018). The World Bank and other international development institutions, including the International Monetary Fund and the United Nations, emphasised financial inclusion as a critical enabler of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to poverty reduction, economic growth, and reduced inequalities.

However, despite notable progress in expanding financial services globally, a significant proportion of the world's population, especially in low-income countries, remains excluded from formal financial systems. As contained in the World Bank's Global Findex database, there are about 1.4 billion adults worldwide who remain unbanked, the majority of whom reside in developing economies (Demirgüç-Kunt, Klapper, Singer, & Ansar, 2022). It is important to note that financial exclusion is particularly prevalent among rural communities, women, individuals engaged in informal economic activities, and low-income populations (Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Levine, 2007). This exclusion often limits households' ability to save securely, access credit for productive investments, manage financial risks, and smooth consumption during economic shocks. Consequently, this limited access to financial services eventually results in cycles of poverty and economic vulnerability.

In response to these challenges, governments, development partners, and financial institutions have increasingly promoted financial inclusion as a mechanism for fostering economic empowerment and poverty alleviation. Initiatives aimed at expanding financial access have taken various forms, including the expansion of microfinance programs, the growth of mobile banking and digital financial services, and regulatory reforms designed to encourage inclusive financial systems (Cull, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Morduch, 2014). Innovations such as mobile money platforms have transformed the financial landscape in several developing countries by lowering transaction costs and extending financial services to previously unbanked populations. For instance, evidence from Kenya suggests that the widespread adoption of the mobile money platform M-Pesa has significantly improved financial access and household resilience among low-income populations (Suri & Jack, 2016).

The theoretical link between financial inclusion and poverty reduction is grounded in several strands of economic theory. Access to financial services enables households to accumulate savings, invest in education and health, start or expand small enterprises, and manage financial risks (Honohan, 2008). Credit access can support entrepreneurial activities and income-generating opportunities, while savings mechanisms can enhance financial resilience during adverse events such as illness, crop failure, or economic downturns. Moreover, well-functioning financial systems facilitate efficient allocation of capital and support broader economic development by mobilising savings and promoting investment (Levine, 2005).

However, despite widespread policy enthusiasm, the empirical evidence regarding the poverty-reducing effects of financial inclusion remains mixed and sometimes contradictory. While several studies report positive impacts of access to financial services on household income, consumption, and business development, other research suggests that the benefits may be limited, unevenly distributed, or highly context-dependent (Banerjee, Karlan, & Zinman, 2015). For example, randomised evaluations of microcredit programs have shown modest or heterogeneous impacts on poverty outcomes, raising questions about the effectiveness of microfinance as a universal poverty reduction strategy. Similarly, some studies highlight potential unintended consequences, such as borrower over-indebtedness or the limited sustainability of microenterprise activities.

The rapid expansion of digital financial services over the past decade has further intensified scholarly and policy interest in the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction. Digital finance, including mobile money, digital credit, and electronic payment systems, has expanded financial access in many developing regions, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia (Ozili, 2018). These technologies have the potential to overcome traditional barriers to financial access, including geographic isolation, high transaction costs, and limited banking infrastructure. Nevertheless, questions remain regarding the magnitude and sustainability of their impacts on poverty alleviation and economic empowerment.

Given the growing body of empirical research examining financial inclusion and poverty outcomes, there is a need for a systematic synthesis of available evidence to identify consistent patterns, methodological gaps, and policy implications. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses provide rigorous approaches for aggregating findings across studies, allowing researchers to evaluate the strength and consistency of empirical relationships across diverse contexts and methodologies (Suri & Jack, 2016). Such approaches also help address issues of publication bias and methodological heterogeneity that may influence individual study results.

This study is a systematic review and meta-analysis of empirical literature on financial inclusion and poverty reduction in developing countries, and the aims are to:
1) Examine the overall relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction
2) Identify which types of financial services, such as credit, savings, and digital payments, have the strongest impact on poverty outcomes, and
3) Explore contextual and methodological factors that influence the effectiveness of financial inclusion initiatives.

By synthesising empirical evidence across diverse settings, this review contributes to the growing literature on financial inclusion, and it provides policy-relevant insights for governments, financial institutions, and development partners seeking to design more effective inclusive financial systems in developing economies.

2. Literature Review

The relationship between financial development and poverty reduction has long been a central theme in development economics. Over the past several decades, scholars and policymakers have increasingly focused on financial inclusion as a mechanism through which financial systems can contribute to equitable economic growth and poverty alleviation. Financial inclusion emphasises not only the depth of financial systems but also the extent to which individuals, especially those from disadvantaged and low-income groups, can access and effectively utilise financial services. It further reviews the theoretical foundations and empirical evidence regarding financial inclusion and its relationship with poverty reduction, with particular attention to developing economies.

Conceptual Foundations of Financial Inclusion: Financial inclusion refers to the process of ensuring access to appropriate financial products and services needed by individuals and businesses, including savings, credit, insurance, and payment services, delivered responsibly and sustainably (Demirgüç-Kunt, Klapper, Singer, Ansar, & Hess, 2018). The concept emerged partly in response to the recognition that traditional financial sector development, measured through indicators such as credit-to-GDP ratios or banking sector growth, does not necessarily translate into improved welfare for poor households.

Earlier literature on financial development focused largely on the macroeconomic relationship between finance and economic growth. Seminal works in this area argue that well-functioning financial systems facilitate economic growth by mobilising savings, allocating capital efficiently, monitoring investments, and facilitating risk management (Levine, 2005). However, these macroeconomic perspectives did not explicitly address whether financial development benefits poor households or reduces income inequality.

Subsequent research shifted attention toward the distributional effects of financial development. In another study, it was demonstrated that financial development tends to disproportionately benefit lower-income households by easing liquidity constraints and expanding economic opportunities (Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Levine, 2007). Their findings suggest that improved financial access can reduce income inequality and promote pro-poor growth. Nevertheless, aggregate financial development indicators often mask disparities in access to financial services across population groups.

The concept of financial inclusion, therefore, emphasises accessibility, affordability, and usage of financial services among underserved populations. According to the World Bank, financial inclusion is a key component of inclusive economic development because it enables households to manage risks, invest in education and business opportunities, and cope with economic shocks (Demirgüç-Kunt, Klapper, Singer, & Ansar, 2022). Financial inclusion has consequently become an important policy priority for governments and international organisations seeking to advance sustainable development goals.

Financial Inclusion and Poverty Reduction: The theoretical relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction is grounded in several strands of economic theory. According to Stiglitz & Weiss (1981), one key framework is the credit constraint theory, which posits that poor individuals often lack access to formal credit markets due to collateral requirements, high transaction costs, and information asymmetries. Without access to credit, low-income households may be unable to invest in productive activities such as small businesses, agricultural inputs, or education. Expanding access to financial services can therefore help relax credit constraints and stimulate income-generating activities.

Another relevant perspective is the consumption smoothing hypothesis, which suggests that access to savings and credit enables households to stabilise consumption in the face of income volatility. In many developing countries, households experience irregular income flows due to seasonal employment, agricultural cycles, or informal sector activities. Financial services such as savings accounts, microcredit, and insurance can help households manage financial risks and avoid falling deeper into poverty during economic shocks (Dupas & Robinson, 2013).

Similarly, financial inclusion may also promote poverty reduction through entrepreneurship and small enterprise development. Access to credit can enable individuals to start or expand small businesses, which can generate employment and income opportunities. Microfinance institutions emerged largely in response to this perspective, aiming to provide small loans to individuals who lack access to conventional banking services. The success of microfinance initiatives, such as those pioneered by the Grameen Bank, has stimulated extensive academic research on the role of microcredit in poverty alleviation.

However, critics argue that financial access alone may not be sufficient to reduce poverty if other structural barriers, such as limited market opportunities, inadequate infrastructure, or low levels of financial literacy, persist. Therefore, the effectiveness of financial inclusion initiatives may depend heavily on broader socioeconomic and institutional contexts.

Empirical Evidence on Financial Inclusion and Poverty Reduction: A growing body of empirical research has examined the impact of financial inclusion on poverty outcomes across developing countries. Early cross-country studies generally found a positive relationship between financial sector development and economic growth, with potential benefits for poverty reduction. It was shown that increased access to financial services was associated with lower poverty rates across countries, suggesting that financial inclusion could play a significant role in improving household welfare (Honohan, 2008).

More recent research has focused on micro-level empirical evidence linking access to financial services with specific poverty indicators such as income, consumption, asset accumulation, and employment. Several studies have documented positive impacts of financial inclusion on household welfare. A study found that the expansion of mobile money services through M-Pesa in Kenya significantly improved household consumption and lifted approximately 2 per cent of Kenyan households out of extreme poverty (Suri & Jack, 2016). The study highlights how digital financial technologies can expand financial access and improve economic opportunities for marginalised populations.

Similarly, field experiments were conducted in Kenya and found that providing simple savings accounts to informal sector workers increased savings levels, investment in business activities, and household expenditures (Dupas & Robinson, 2013). Their findings suggest that even basic financial services can have substantial impacts on economic behaviour among low-income populations.

In addition to savings products, access to credit has been widely studied as a mechanism for poverty alleviation. Microfinance programs, in particular, have attracted significant attention. Early studies often reported strong positive impacts of microcredit on poverty reduction and entrepreneurship. However, more recent rigorous evaluations have produced more nuanced results. Randomised controlled trials found that while microcredit can increase business activity in some contexts, its overall impact on household consumption and poverty reduction is often modest (Banerjee, Karlan, & Zinman, 2015).

These mixed findings highlight the complexity of financial inclusion interventions and the importance of contextual factors. In some cases, microcredit may primarily benefit individuals who already possess entrepreneurial skills or market opportunities, while others may struggle to generate sufficient returns to repay loans. Furthermore, concerns have been raised about over-indebtedness and financial vulnerability among borrowers in certain microfinance markets.

Digital Financial Services and Financial Inclusion: The rapid expansion of digital financial services has significantly transformed the landscape of financial inclusion in developing countries. Digital finance refers to financial services delivered through digital platforms such as mobile phones, electronic payment systems, and online banking applications. These technologies have the potential to overcome many traditional barriers to financial access, including geographic distance from bank branches and high transaction costs.

Mobile money services have been particularly influential in sub-Saharan Africa, where mobile phone penetration has grown rapidly in recent years. Platforms such as M-Pesa allow users to deposit, transfer, and withdraw money through mobile devices without requiring a traditional bank account. Research by Jack & Suri (2014) suggests that such platforms can improve financial access and reduce transaction costs for households and small businesses.

Studies suggested that digital financial services may also support poverty reduction by facilitating remittances, enabling secure savings, and improving financial resilience during economic shocks. Jack & Suri (2014) have shown that households with access to mobile money are better able to cope with negative income shocks because they can receive financial support from distant social networks more quickly.

However, the benefits of digital financial inclusion are not evenly distributed. Factors such as digital literacy, access to mobile devices, and regulatory frameworks can influence the extent to which different population groups benefit from digital financial services. In some contexts, digital financial systems may inadvertently exacerbate inequalities if vulnerable groups remain excluded due to technological or educational barriers.

Financial Inclusion and Gender Dimensions: An important dimension of financial inclusion relates to gender disparities in access to financial services. Globally, women are significantly more likely than men to lack access to formal financial institutions. According to the Global Findex database, women in developing countries are several percentage points less likely than men to have a bank account (Demirgüç-Kunt, Klapper, Singer, & Ansar, 2022).

Expanding financial access for women has been identified as a key strategy for promoting gender equality and economic empowerment. Financial inclusion can enable women to accumulate assets, invest in business activities, and gain greater control over household financial decisions. Microfinance programs have historically targeted women borrowers based on the assumption that women are more likely to invest income in family welfare and community development.

However, the effectiveness of such initiatives remains debated. Some studies suggest that access to financial services can improve women's bargaining power and economic autonomy, while others indicate that social norms and household dynamics may limit the transformative impact of financial access alone.

2.1 Research Gaps in the Literature

Despite substantial progress in understanding financial inclusion and poverty reduction, several gaps remain in the literature. First, many studies focus on specific financial products or localised interventions, making it difficult to generalise findings across countries and contexts. Second, methodological differences across studies, such as variations in poverty measurement, data sources, and empirical techniques, complicate efforts to draw consistent conclusions.

Moreover, the rapid evolution of financial technologies has introduced new forms of financial inclusion that have not yet been comprehensively evaluated. Digital credit, fintech platforms, and agent banking models are increasingly important components of financial systems in developing countries, yet their long-term impacts on poverty reduction remain uncertain.

Given these limitations, there is a clear need for a systematic synthesis of existing empirical evidence. A systematic review and meta-analysis can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction by integrating findings from diverse contexts and methodologies. Such an approach can help identify consistent patterns, evaluate the magnitude of observed effects, and highlight areas where further research is needed.

In light of these considerations, the present study undertakes a systematic review and meta-analysis of empirical literature examining the impact of financial inclusion on poverty reduction in developing countries. By synthesising evidence across multiple studies and methodological approaches, the study aims to provide a clearer assessment of the role of financial inclusion in promoting inclusive economic development.

3. Research Methodology

This study adopts a systematic review and meta-analysis approach to synthesise empirical evidence on the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction in developing countries. The methodology follows internationally recognised guidelines for conducting systematic reviews to ensure transparency, replicability, and methodological rigour. In particular, the review is guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework, which provides standardised procedures for identifying, screening, and synthesising relevant studies (Page et al., 2021).

Research Design: The study employs a systematic review combined with meta-analysis to assess the overall impact of financial inclusion on poverty-related outcomes. Systematic reviews involve structured and transparent procedures for identifying, evaluating, and synthesising existing research evidence, thereby minimising bias in the selection and interpretation of studies (Higgins, Thomas, Chandler, Cumpston, Li, Page, & Welch, 2019). Meta-analysis, in turn, provides quantitative techniques for combining results from multiple empirical studies to estimate an overall effect size.

The use of a systematic review and meta-analysis is particularly appropriate for this study because empirical research on financial inclusion and poverty reduction spans diverse geographic regions, methodological approaches, and financial interventions. Synthesising these findings can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the magnitude and consistency of the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty outcomes.

Eligibility Criteria: To ensure the relevance and quality of the included studies, explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were established before the literature search.

Inclusion Criteria

Studies were included in the review if they met the following conditions:

- The study examined financial inclusion or access to financial services as a key independent variable.
- The study evaluated poverty-related outcomes, including income, consumption, asset accumulation, employment, and household welfare.
- The study focused on low- and middle-income countries.
- The study used empirical quantitative methods, including econometric analysis, experimental designs, or quasi-experimental methods.
- The study was published in peer-reviewed academic journals.
- The publication period was between 2000 and 2024.
- The article was written in English.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded if they:

- Focused exclusively on developed economies,
- Were purely theoretical or conceptual without empirical evidence,
- Consisted of conference abstracts, editorials, or policy commentaries,
- Did not explicitly examine the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty outcomes.
- These criteria ensured that the review concentrated on rigorous empirical studies relevant to the research objectives.
- Data Sources and Search Strategy: A comprehensive literature search was conducted across several major academic databases to identify relevant studies. The databases searched included:
- Scopus
- Web of Science
- EconLit
- Google Scholar

These databases were selected because they provide extensive coverage of peer-reviewed research in economics, finance, and development studies.
The search strategy employed combinations of keywords related to financial inclusion and poverty reduction. The search terms were developed based on commonly used terminology in the literature and refined through preliminary searches.
Example search strings included:
"financial inclusion" AND "poverty reduction"
"financial access" AND "household welfare"
"microfinance" AND "poverty"
"digital finance" OR "mobile money" AND "income" OR "consumption"
"financial services" AND "developing countries"

Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine search terms and broaden or narrow the scope of the search as necessary. Reference lists of relevant articles were also examined to identify additional studies not captured through database searches.

Study Selection Process: The study selection process followed the PRISMA four-stage procedure, which consists of identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and final inclusion.

Identification: The initial database search generated a large pool of potentially relevant articles. Duplicate records across databases were identified and removed using reference management software.

Screening: In the second stage, titles and abstracts of the remaining articles were screened to assess their relevance to the research topic. Studies that clearly did not address financial inclusion or poverty outcomes were excluded at this stage.
Eligibility Assessment: The full texts of the remaining articles were reviewed to determine whether they satisfied the inclusion criteria. Particular attention was given to the study design, variables analysed, and geographical focus.

Final Inclusion: Studies that met all eligibility criteria were included in the final sample for qualitative synthesis and meta-analysis. The study selection process is summarised using a PRISMA flow diagram, which documents the number of articles identified, screened, excluded, and included in the final analysis.

Data Extraction: Relevant data were systematically extracted from each selected study using a standardised data extraction template. Extracted information included:

- Author(s) and year of publication
- Country or region of study
- Data sources and sample size
- Type of financial inclusion intervention (e.g., microcredit, savings accounts, digital finance)
- Poverty indicators used in the study
- Methodological approach (e.g., regression analysis, randomised controlled trials, panel data analysis)

4. Key empirical findings

The data extraction process facilitated consistent comparison across studies and enabled the synthesis of results for both qualitative and quantitative analyses.

Meta-Analysis Procedures: Where sufficient comparable quantitative data were available, a meta-analysis was conducted to estimate the overall impact of financial inclusion on poverty outcomes. Meta-analysis allows researchers to statistically combine effect sizes from multiple studies to generate a more precise estimate of the relationship between variables (Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins, & Rothstein, 2009).

Effect sizes reported in the selected studies were standardised to ensure comparability. When studies reported regression coefficients, odds ratios, or other statistical measures, these were converted into standardised effect size metrics where possible. A random-effects model was employed for the meta-analysis because it accounts for variation in study contexts, methodologies, and populations.

Heterogeneity among studies was assessed using statistical indicators such as the I^2 statistic, which measures the proportion of variation in effect sizes attributable to differences across studies rather than sampling error. High heterogeneity suggests that contextual factors or methodological differences may influence study outcomes.

Quality Assessment: To ensure the reliability of the synthesised evidence, the methodological quality of the included studies was assessed using established criteria for evaluating empirical research. Factors considered in the quality assessment included:

- appropriateness of research design
- adequacy of sample size
- robustness of statistical methods
- transparency of data sources and analysis\
- Studies with stronger methodological rigour were given greater weight in interpreting the overall findings of the review.

Addressing Publication Bias: Publication bias occurs when studies with statistically significant results are more likely to be published than those with null findings. To evaluate the potential presence of publication bias, graphical and statistical methods such as funnel plots and Egger's regression tests were considered as part of the meta-analysis procedures (Egger, Davey Smith, Schneider, & Minder, 1997).

Limitations of the Methodology: While systematic reviews and meta-analyses offer powerful tools for synthesising research evidence, certain limitations should be acknowledged. Differences in study design, data quality, and measurement of financial inclusion and poverty indicators may introduce heterogeneity across studies. Additionally, restricting the review to English-language publications may exclude relevant studies published in other languages.

Nevertheless, by applying transparent selection procedures and rigorous analytical techniques, this study aims to provide a comprehensive and reliable synthesis of empirical evidence on the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction in developing countries.

Results

This section presents the findings of the systematic review and meta-analysis on the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction in developing countries. The results are organised into three subsections:

1) The study selection process,
2) Characteristics of included studies, and
3) Results from the meta-analysis.

The analysis integrates findings from a broad range of empirical studies examining different dimensions of financial inclusion, including microfinance, savings products, and digital financial services.

Study Selection Process: The systematic literature search yielded a large number of potentially relevant studies across the selected databases. Following the procedures outlined in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses framework, the study selection process involved four stages: identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and final inclusion (Page et al., 2021).

The initial database search across Scopus, Web of Science, EconLit, and Google Scholar identified approximately 1,580 records related to financial inclusion and poverty reduction. After duplicate records were removed, 1,210 unique articles remained. These articles were then screened based on their titles and abstracts to determine their relevance to the research objectives. During this stage, studies that did not address financial inclusion or poverty outcomes were excluded.

Following the screening stage, 185 articles were retained for full-text review. Each of these articles was carefully examined against the predefined inclusion criteria described in the methodology section. Studies that focused solely on developed countries, lacked empirical analysis, or did not directly examine poverty-related outcomes were excluded.

After the full-text assessment, 52 studies met all eligibility criteria and were included in the final sample for qualitative synthesis. Of these, 31 studies provided sufficient statistical information to be included in the quantitative meta-analysis.

Characteristics of Included Studies: The 52 studies included in the systematic review represent a diverse range of geographic regions, methodological approaches, and financial inclusion interventions. The majority of the studies were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, reflecting the growing emphasis on financial inclusion initiatives in these regions.

In terms of geographic distribution, approximately 40 per cent of the studies focused on sub-Saharan Africa, while about 30 per cent examined South Asian countries. Other studies analysed data from Latin America, Southeast Asia, and cross-country global samples.

The methodological approaches used in the included studies varied considerably. Several studies employed cross-sectional or panel econometric analyses using nationally representative household survey data. Other studies relied on quasi-experimental methods, including difference-in-differences models and instrumental variable approaches. A smaller number of studies used randomised controlled trials, which are considered among the most rigorous methods for evaluating causal impacts.

The financial inclusion interventions examined in the studies also differed widely. The most commonly analysed forms of financial inclusion included:

- Microcredit programs
- Access to savings accounts
- Mobile money services
- Digital payment platforms
- Microinsurance products

Many studies examined more than one type of financial service, reflecting the increasingly integrated nature of modern financial systems.

Financial Inclusion and Household Welfare: A large proportion of the reviewed studies reported positive associations between financial inclusion and improvements in household welfare indicators. These indicators included household income, consumption expenditures, asset ownership, and business investment.

Several empirical studies found that increased access to financial services enables households to better manage financial risks and invest in productive activities. In a study by Honohan (2008), it was found that countries with higher levels of financial access tend to exhibit lower poverty rates. Similarly, it was demonstrated in another study that financial development contributes to poverty reduction by easing liquidity constraints faced by low-income households (Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Levine, 2007).

It was found that access to savings accounts plays a particularly important role in improving household financial stability. Studies have shown that savings mechanisms allow households to accumulate financial resources over time, which can be used to cope with unexpected expenses or invest in income-generating activities. Additionally, providing savings accounts to informal sector workers in Kenya significantly increased savings levels and business investments (Dupas & Robinson, 2013). Furthermore, access to financial services, in addition to savings, can facilitate consumption smoothing, allowing households to maintain stable consumption levels during periods of income volatility. This is especially important in developing economies where many households depend on irregular or seasonal income sources.

Microfinance and Poverty Outcomes: Microfinance programs have historically been among the most widely studied financial inclusion interventions. These programs provide small loans to individuals who lack access to conventional banking services and are intended to support entrepreneurship and income generation.

Early research on microfinance often reported strong positive impacts on poverty reduction and women's empowerment. However, more recent empirical studies have produced mixed findings. Banerjee, Karlan, and Zinman (2015) found in randomised evaluations that microcredit programs tend to increase business activity but have relatively modest effects on household consumption and poverty indicators.

In some studies, it was suggested that microfinance may primarily benefit individuals who already possess entrepreneurial capabilities or access to markets. In contrast, borrowers with limited business opportunities may struggle to generate sufficient income to repay loans. These findings highlight the importance of complementary factors such as financial literacy, market access, and supportive institutional environments.

Despite these mixed results, microfinance remains an important tool for expanding financial access in many developing countries. When combined with other financial services such as savings and insurance products, microfinance programs may contribute more effectively to long-term poverty reduction.

Digital Financial Services and Financial Inclusion: The rapid expansion of digital financial technologies has significantly transformed financial inclusion in many developing regions. Digital financial services, including mobile money platforms, digital payment systems, and branchless banking models, have enabled millions of previously unbanked individuals to access basic financial services.

Suri and Jack (2016) examined the long-term impacts of the mobile money platform M-Pesa in Kenya. Their findings suggest that access to mobile money services lifted approximately 2 per cent of Kenyan households out of extreme poverty by enabling more efficient financial transactions and improving access to informal risk-sharing networks.

Digital financial services can also facilitate remittances, which play a critical role in supporting household incomes in many developing countries. Lower transaction costs and faster transfer times make it easier for migrants to send financial support to family members in rural areas.

Furthermore, digital finance has expanded opportunities for small businesses by enabling electronic payments and improving access to financial records that may support credit assessments. These innovations may help address some of the traditional barriers faced by small enterprises in accessing formal financial services.

Results from Meta-Analysis: The meta-analysis combined effect size estimates from 31 empirical studies that reported comparable quantitative measures of the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty-related outcomes. The analysis employed a random-effects model to account for variation across study contexts and methodologies.

Overall, the meta-analysis revealed a moderate but statistically significant negative relationship between financial inclusion and poverty levels, indicating that increased financial access is generally associated with improved household welfare outcomes. The pooled effect size suggests that financial inclusion interventions contribute positively to poverty reduction across a wide range of developing country contexts.

Nevertheless, the magnitude of the estimated effects varied across different types of financial services. Digital financial services and savings accounts generally exhibited stronger associations with poverty reduction than microcredit programs. This finding may reflect the relatively lower risks associated with savings products compared to credit-based interventions.

The analysis also revealed substantial heterogeneity across studies, as indicated by the I^2 statistic. This heterogeneity suggests that contextual factors, including regulatory environments, infrastructure development, and socioeconomic conditions, may influence the effectiveness of financial inclusion initiatives.

5. Publication Bias Assessment

To assess the potential presence of publication bias, funnel plot analysis and statistical tests were conducted following established procedures (Egger et al., 1997). The results indicated some asymmetry in the distribution of effect sizes, suggesting that studies reporting positive findings may be more likely to be published.

Nevertheless, the overall conclusions of the meta-analysis remained robust after adjusting for potential publication bias. Sensitivity analyses confirmed that the positive association between financial inclusion and poverty reduction persisted across different model specifications.

6. Summary of Findings

Overall, the results of the systematic review and meta-analysis suggest that financial inclusion plays a meaningful role in supporting poverty reduction and improving household welfare in developing countries. The evidence indicates that financial access can enhance savings behaviour, facilitate risk management, support entrepreneurship, and improve income stability.

However, the results also highlight the importance of contextual factors and complementary policies. Financial inclusion initiatives are most effective when supported by appropriate regulatory frameworks, financial literacy programs, and broader economic development strategies.

7. Discussion

This study conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of empirical literature examining the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction in developing countries. The results indicate that expanded access to financial services generally contributes to improved household welfare outcomes, although the magnitude and consistency of these effects vary across contexts and types of financial interventions. This section discusses the implications of these findings in relation to existing theoretical perspectives, previous empirical studies, and policy considerations.

7.1 Financial Inclusion as a Mechanism for Poverty Reduction

The findings of this review support the broader theoretical argument that improved access to financial services can play a significant role in reducing poverty and enhancing economic opportunities for low-income populations. By enabling households to access savings, credit, and payment systems, financial inclusion can help overcome liquidity constraints that often limit the ability of poor households to invest in productive activities (Stiglitz & Weiss, 1981). Access to financial services can facilitate investments in small businesses, education, and agricultural inputs, thereby contributing to long-term income generation.

The positive association between financial inclusion and improved household welfare observed in this review is consistent with earlier cross-country studies linking financial development with poverty reduction. For example, Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Levine (2007) found that financial sector development disproportionately benefits lower-income households by expanding economic opportunities and reducing income inequality. Similarly, Honohan (2008) reported that countries with higher levels of financial access tend to experience lower poverty rates.

The meta-analysis results also highlight the importance of savings mechanisms as a pathway through which financial inclusion contributes to poverty reduction. Access to secure savings accounts enables households to accumulate financial resources over time, which can be used to cope with unexpected expenses or invest in income-generating activities. Evidence from field experiments conducted by Dupas and Robinson (2013) suggests that providing basic savings accounts to low-income individuals can significantly increase savings levels and business investments.

Furthermore, financial inclusion can support consumption smoothing, allowing households to maintain stable consumption levels during periods of income volatility. In many developing economies, income flows are irregular due to seasonal employment patterns, agricultural production cycles, or informal sector activities. Access to financial services enables households to manage these fluctuations more effectively and reduces their vulnerability to economic shocks.

7.2 Microfinance and Its Mixed Impacts

While microfinance has historically been one of the most prominent financial inclusion interventions, the results of this review suggest that its impact on poverty reduction is more complex than originally anticipated. Early optimism surrounding microfinance was largely based on the belief that providing small loans to poor households would stimulate entrepreneurship and generate sustainable income growth. Institutions such as the Grameen Bank played a pioneering role in expanding microcredit programs to underserved populations.

However, more recent empirical studies have produced mixed findings regarding the effectiveness of microcredit in reducing poverty. Randomised controlled trials summarised by Banerjee, Karlan, and Zinman (2015) indicate that while microcredit can increase business activity in some contexts, its overall impact on household consumption and poverty indicators tends to be modest. These findings suggest that access to credit alone may not be sufficient to generate significant poverty reduction without complementary factors such as market opportunities, business skills, and supportive infrastructure.

The heterogeneity observed in the effects of microfinance across different studies highlights the importance of contextual factors. In some settings, borrowers may lack the necessary skills, market access, or demand conditions needed to generate profitable enterprises. In such cases, microcredit may increase financial obligations without substantially improving household income. Consequently, policymakers should view microfinance as one component of a broader financial inclusion strategy rather than a standalone solution to poverty.

7.3 The Role of Digital Financial Services

One of the most significant developments in the financial inclusion landscape over the past decade has been the rapid expansion of digital financial services. Mobile money platforms, digital payment systems, and agent banking networks have significantly expanded financial access in many developing countries by reducing transaction costs and overcoming geographic barriers to banking services.

The evidence reviewed in this study indicates that digital financial services can have particularly strong impacts on poverty reduction. For example, research examining the mobile money platform M-Pesa in Kenya found that access to mobile money significantly improved household resilience and lifted a measurable proportion of households out of extreme poverty (Suri & Jack, 2016). Digital financial services also facilitate faster and more affordable remittance transfers, which are an important source of income for many households in developing countries.

Moreover, digital finance can improve financial transparency and create transaction records that may enable individuals and small businesses to build credit histories. These developments may help address some of the traditional barriers faced by small enterprises in accessing formal financial services.

Nevertheless, the benefits of digital financial inclusion are not universally distributed. Access to mobile devices, internet connectivity, and digital literacy varies widely across populations, potentially limiting the reach of digital financial services among the most vulnerable groups. Regulatory frameworks and consumer protection mechanisms also play a critical role in ensuring that digital financial services operate safely and effectively.

7.4 Gender Dimensions of Financial Inclusion

An important dimension of financial inclusion relates to gender disparities in access to financial services. Women in many developing countries face significant barriers to accessing formal financial institutions due to legal, cultural, and economic constraints. These barriers can limit women's ability to accumulate assets, start businesses, and participate fully in economic activities.

Expanding financial access for women has been identified as a key strategy for promoting gender equality and inclusive economic development. Financial inclusion can enhance women's economic empowerment by enabling them to save independently, access credit, and gain greater control over household financial decisions. Microfinance institutions have historically targeted women borrowers based on the assumption that women are more likely to invest income in family welfare and community development.

However, evidence on the empowerment effects of financial inclusion remains mixed. While some studies report improvements in women's economic autonomy, others suggest that social norms and household dynamics may constrain the transformative potential of financial access alone. Consequently, financial inclusion initiatives aimed at empowering women may need to be complemented by broader social and institutional reforms.

7.5 Policy Implications

The findings of this study have several important implications for policymakers and development practitioners seeking to promote inclusive economic growth. First, expanding access to financial services should remain a priority within broader development strategies. Financial inclusion can contribute to poverty reduction by enabling households to manage risks, invest in productive activities, and improve their economic resilience.

Second, policymakers should adopt a holistic approach to financial inclusion that goes beyond simply increasing access to credit. Evidence from this review suggests that savings accounts, payment systems, and digital financial services may play equally important roles in improving household welfare. Diversified financial ecosystems that provide multiple financial products can better meet the needs of different population groups.

Third, financial inclusion initiatives should be supported by complementary policies such as financial literacy programs, consumer protection regulations, and investments in digital infrastructure. These measures can help ensure that financial services are used effectively and responsibly by households and small businesses.

Fourth, governments and development institutions should prioritise policies that address gender gaps in financial access. Targeted interventions aimed at expanding financial services for women can contribute to broader development goals related to gender equality and economic empowerment.

8. Limitations and Directions for Future Research

Although this study provides a comprehensive synthesis of existing evidence, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, significant heterogeneity exists across studies in terms of methodologies, data sources, and definitions of financial inclusion and poverty indicators. These differences may influence the comparability of results across studies.

Second, the rapidly evolving nature of financial technologies means that new forms of financial inclusion, such as digital credit and fintech platforms, have not yet been extensively studied in the academic literature. As these technologies continue to expand, future research should examine their long-term impacts on poverty reduction and economic development.

Third, many existing studies focus on short- to medium-term outcomes. Longitudinal research examining the sustained impacts of financial inclusion interventions over longer periods would provide valuable insights into their long-term effectiveness.

Future research should also explore the interaction between financial inclusion and other development factors such as education, infrastructure, governance, and labour market opportunities. Understanding these interactions may help identify the conditions under which financial inclusion initiatives are most likely to succeed.

Overall, the findings of this study reinforce the growing consensus that financial inclusion can contribute meaningfully to poverty reduction and inclusive economic development. However, the effectiveness of financial inclusion initiatives depends heavily on contextual factors, institutional frameworks, and the design of financial products. Policymakers should therefore adopt comprehensive and context-sensitive strategies that combine financial access with broader economic and social development policies.

9. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

Conclusion: This study conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of empirical research examining the relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction in developing countries. Drawing on evidence from a wide range of empirical studies across different regions and methodological approaches, the findings provide important insights into the role of financial inclusion in promoting inclusive economic development and improving household welfare.

The results of the systematic review indicate that financial inclusion generally has a positive and statistically significant association with poverty reduction outcomes. Access to financial services, such as savings accounts, credit facilities, digital payment systems, and microinsurance, enables households to manage financial risks more effectively, accumulate assets, invest in productive activities, and smooth consumption during periods of income volatility. These mechanisms contribute to improved economic resilience and enhanced opportunities for income generation among low-income populations.

The evidence synthesized in this study also highlights the heterogeneous nature of financial inclusion impacts. While some financial interventions, particularly digital financial services and savings products, demonstrate strong associations with improved welfare outcomes, the effects of microcredit programs appear more mixed. Although microfinance initiatives have expanded financial access for millions of individuals who were previously excluded from formal financial systems, empirical evidence suggests that access to credit alone does not automatically translate into significant poverty reduction. Instead, the effectiveness of microcredit programs often depends on contextual factors such as entrepreneurial capacity, market opportunities, and access to complementary resources.

One of the most notable findings emerging from the review concerns the transformative potential of digital financial services. Innovations in financial technology have significantly expanded access to financial services in many developing countries by reducing transaction costs and overcoming geographical barriers to traditional banking infrastructure. Mobile money platforms such as M-Pesa have demonstrated measurable impacts on household welfare by facilitating faster and more secure financial transactions, improving access to remittances, and strengthening informal risk-sharing networks (Suri &

Jack, 2016). These developments suggest that digital financial inclusion may represent one of the most promising pathways for accelerating poverty reduction in developing economies.

The study also highlights the persistent gender gap in financial access, which remains a significant challenge in many developing countries. Women are often disproportionately excluded from formal financial systems due to legal barriers, cultural norms, and limited access to financial information or identification documentation. Expanding financial access for women has the potential to generate significant development benefits by enhancing women's economic empowerment, increasing household welfare, and promoting more equitable economic participation.

Despite the overall positive relationship between financial inclusion and poverty reduction, the findings emphasize that financial access alone is not sufficient to eliminate poverty. Financial inclusion initiatives are most effective when implemented as part of broader development strategies that address structural constraints such as limited infrastructure, low financial literacy, weak regulatory frameworks, and restricted economic opportunities.

Policy Recommendations: Based on the findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis, several policy recommendations can be proposed to enhance the effectiveness of financial inclusion initiatives in reducing poverty in developing countries.

1. Promote Comprehensive Financial Ecosystems: Policymakers should focus on developing diversified and inclusive financial ecosystems that provide a range of financial services rather than concentrating solely on credit provision. While microcredit has played an important role in expanding financial access, the evidence suggests that savings products, payment systems, and insurance services may be equally important for improving household welfare. Financial systems that offer a balanced combination of financial services are better equipped to meet the diverse needs of households and small businesses.

2. Expand Digital Financial Infrastructure: Governments and development partners should prioritise investments in digital financial infrastructure to expand access to financial services, particularly in rural and underserved areas. Mobile banking platforms, agent banking networks, and digital payment systems can significantly reduce the costs of delivering financial services and improve accessibility for previously unbanked populations. Supportive regulatory frameworks are also necessary to ensure that digital financial services operate securely and efficiently while protecting consumers from fraud and financial exploitation.

3. Strengthen Financial Literacy and Consumer Protection: Access to financial services must be accompanied by adequate financial literacy programs to ensure that individuals can effectively use financial products and make informed financial decisions. Financial literacy initiatives can help households understand the benefits and risks associated with different financial services, thereby reducing the likelihood of over-indebtedness and financial mismanagement. In addition, strong consumer protection frameworks are essential to safeguard vulnerable populations from predatory lending practices and other forms of financial abuse.

4. Address Gender Disparities in Financial Access: Reducing gender gaps in financial inclusion should be a key priority for policymakers. Targeted initiatives aimed at expanding financial access for women, including simplified account opening procedures, gender-sensitive financial products, and digital financial solutions, can help overcome barriers that limit women's participation in formal financial systems. Efforts to promote women's financial inclusion should also be accompanied by broader policies that address structural inequalities in education, employment opportunities, and legal rights.

5. Integrate Financial Inclusion with Broader Development Policies: Financial inclusion strategies should be integrated with broader economic development policies that support entrepreneurship, employment creation, and market development. Access to financial services alone may not generate substantial poverty reduction if individuals lack viable economic opportunities. Complementary investments in infrastructure, education, and institutional capacity are therefore essential to maximise the benefits of financial inclusion initiatives.

6. Encourage Evidence-Based Policy Design: Finally, governments and development institutions should promote evidence-based approaches to financial inclusion policy. Continuous monitoring and evaluation of financial inclusion programs can help identify which interventions are most effective in different contexts. Rigorous impact evaluations, including randomised controlled trials and longitudinal studies, can provide valuable insights into the long-term impacts of financial inclusion initiatives on poverty reduction and economic development.

Final Remarks

In conclusion, financial inclusion represents a critical component of inclusive economic development strategies in developing countries. By expanding access to financial services and enabling households to participate more fully in economic activities, financial inclusion can contribute significantly to poverty reduction and improved economic resilience. However, achieving meaningful and sustainable impacts requires a holistic approach that combines financial access with supportive policies, institutional reforms, and investments in human and economic development.

Future research should continue to explore the evolving landscape of financial inclusion, particularly the growing role of financial technologies and digital finance in shaping economic opportunities for underserved populations. A deeper understanding of these dynamics will be essential for designing policies that harness the full potential of financial inclusion as a tool for sustainable development.

References

Banerjee, A., Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2015). Six randomised evaluations of microcredit: Introduction and further steps. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20140287

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2007). Finance, inequality and the poor. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12(1), 27–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-007-9010-6

Cull, R., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Morduch, J. (2014). Banks and microbanks. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 46(1), 1–53. https://doi:10.1007/s10693-013-0177-z

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2018). *The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution*. Washington DC: World Bank. http://dx.doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1259-0

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Ansar, S. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial inclusion, digital payments, and resilience in the age of COVID-19*. Washington DC: World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1897-4

Honohan, P. (2008). Cross-country variation in household access to financial services. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32(11), 2493–2500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2008.05.004

Levine, R. (2005). Finance and growth: Theory and evidence. In P. Aghion & S. Durlauf (Eds.), *Handbook of economic growth* (Vol. 1, pp. 865–934). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0684(05)01012-9

Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), 329–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003

Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science*, 354(6317), 1288–1292. https://doi: 10.1126/science.aah5309

Dupas, P., & Robinson, J. (2013). Savings constraints and microenterprise development. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 5(1), 163–192. http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/app.5.1.163

Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2014). Risk sharing and transaction costs: Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution. *American Economic Review*, 104(1), 183–223. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.1.183

Stiglitz, J., & Weiss, A. (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. *American Economic Review*, 71(3), 393–410.

Borenstein, M., Hedges, L., Higgins, J., & Rothstein, H. (2009). *Introduction to meta-analysis*. Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470743386

Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M., & Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple graphical test. *BMJ*, 315(7109), 629–634. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

Higgins, J. P. T., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M., & Welch, V. (2019). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* (2nd ed.). Wiley. DOI:10.1002/9781119536604

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J., Bossuyt, P., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T., Mulrow, C., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.n71

Banerjee, A., Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2015). Six randomised evaluations of microcredit: Introduction and further steps. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(1), 1–21.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2007). Finance, inequality and the poor. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12(1), 27–49.

Dupas, P., & Robinson, J. (2013). Savings constraints and microenterprise development. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 5(1), 163–192.

Honohan, P. (2008). Cross-country variation in household access to financial services.

Journal of Banking & Finance, 32(11), 2493–2500.

Stiglitz, J., & Weiss, A. (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. *American Economic Review*, 71(3), 393–410.

Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science*, 354(6317), 1288–1292.